arXiv:1610.01831v1 [physics.atom-ph] 6 Oct 2016

Trapping of Atoms by the Counter-Propagating Stochastic Light Waves

V. I. Romanenko[1,*] and L. P. Yatsenko[1]

[1]*Institute of Physics, Nat. Acad. Sci. of Ukraine, 46, Nauky Ave., Kyiv 03650, Ukraine*

(Dated: October 7, 2016)

We show that the field of counter-propagating stochastic light waves, one of which repeats the other, can form an one-dimension trap for atoms. The confinement of an ensemble of atoms in the trap and their simultaneous cooling can be achieved without using auxiliary fields. The temperature of the atomic ensemble depends on the autocorrelation time of the waves, their intensity and the detuning of the carrier frequency of the waves from the atomic transition frequency. The numerical simulation is carried out for sodium atoms.

PACS numbers: 37.10.De, 37.10.Gh, 37.10.Vz

Keywords: optical trap for atoms, laser cooling, Monte Carlo wave-function method

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main tools in modern optics and atomic physics is optical traps for atoms, in which, in addition to the confinement, they are cooling. For example, confinement and simultaneous cooling of atoms is realized in a widely used magneto-optical trap [1], where the atoms are subjected to the laser radiation and the magnetic field. Recently, after a series of articles discussing the formation of a trap for atoms by the trains of counter-propagating light pulses, one of which repeats the other [2–6], it was shown that such a trap can also cool atoms, provided that the pulse parameters are properly chosen [7–9]. Another trap that simultaneously confine and cool atoms, but based on the two collinear standing waves, which can be treated as counter-propagating bichromatic light waves, was

*Electronic address: vr@iop.kiev.ua

proposed in [10] and investigated by the authors in the recent paper [11]. The center of both type of the traps, the trap based on counter-propagating light pulses and the trap based on counter-propagating bichromatic waves, is situated in the point where the field of counter-propagating waves coincide. The traps based only on the laser radiation may have a good perspective in atomic clocks, where magnetic field is undesirable.

The next logical step in the investigation of the optical traps for atoms that confine and cool the atoms by the same field is to elucidate what type of counter-propagating waves can form a trap. We suppose that any counter-propagating wave, one of which repeats the other, will form a trap for atoms. In this paper we examine the interaction of atoms with a disordered light radiation, namely, the counter-propagating stochastic plane waves, and show that even in this case the atoms can be confined. The confirmation of our hypothesis for stochastic waves in all likelihood can be regarded as its justification. The other novelty aspect of the work is investigation of the influence of the degree of the light coherence on the laser cooling and trapping. The trap under consideration combines the idea of the confinement of atoms by the counter-propagating waves and their cooling by white light [12–14] using the same laser radiation.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the trap model considering in this paper. In Section III the equations that describe the evolution of the atom in the stochastic field are written, in Section IV the light pressure force on an atom in the weak field is derived and the minimal temperature of the atomic ensemble in the stochastic field is found. In Section V we describe the numerical calculation routine used in the investigation. Results of the numerical calculations of the statistic properties of the ensemble of sodium atoms and their discussion are presented in Section VI. The conclusions are given in Section VII.

II. TRAP MODEL

According to the results of [16], the light pressure force in the field of the counter-propagating stochastic waves, one of which repeats the other with a certain time delay, is directed to the point where this delay is equal to zero. Thus, it is possible to form an optical trap by the stochastic light field. As far as the radiation field of a multimode laser is close to stochastic [17, 18], it is possible to construct a trap on the basis of such lasers.



FIG. 1: A scheme of the trap. The atom (indicated by the circle) near the point O is subjected to the field of the counter-propagating light waves with the stochastic envelop. The waves are produced by the same source and in the point O their amplitudes and phases are equal. Due to the force of light pressure the atom moves near the center O of the trap

A scheme of an one-dimensional trap for atoms, formed by the counter-propagating stochastic waves is depicted in Figure 1. The waves are produced by the same laser and directed towards each other by a system of mirrors (not shown in the figure).

III. MAIN EQUATIONS

In the calculations we assume the condition [19, 20]

$$\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \ll \hbar\gamma, \tag{1}$$

which means that the light pressure force is formed faster than the change of the atomic velocity will have a significant impact on its value (the heavy atom approximation). Here $k = \omega/c$ is the wave vector, ω is the carrier frequency of the waves, γ is the rate constant of spontaneous emission. Criterion (1) is the basis for the semi-classical approach in derivation of the Doppler-cooling limit [20].

Let's consider an atom in the field of two counter-propagating waves, one of which repeats the other with some time delay. The origin of the coordinate system is situated in the point O (see figure 1), where this delay is equal to zero. The atom with the coordinate z is subjected to the electric field

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\big[E_0(t - z/c)\exp\left(i\omega t - ikz\right) + E_0(t + z/c)\exp\left(i\omega t + ikz\right)\big] + \text{ c. c.} \tag{2}$$

Here $\mathbf{e}$ is the unit vector of polarization.

We describe the laser radiation by the chaotic field model [17, 18], in which the real and imaginary parts of the complex amplitude of the field fluctuate independently. The ensemble

average of the complex amplitude equals to zero and the autocorrelation functions of the real and the imaginary parts are

$$\langle \mathrm{Re}\, E_0(t)\, \mathrm{Re}\, E_0(t')\rangle \;=\; \frac{1}{2}|E_0|^2 \exp -G|t-t'|), \tag{3}$$

$$\langle \mathrm{Im}\, E_0(t)\, \mathrm{Im}\, E_0(t')\rangle \;=\; \frac{1}{2}|E_0|^2 \exp(-G|t-t'|), \tag{4}$$

where brackets $\langle \quad \rangle$ denote averaging over the ensemble, G is the inverse correlation time, $|E_0|^2 = \langle E_0(t)E_0^*(t)\rangle$ does not depend on time.

We use a simple two-level model of the atom-field interaction and assume the absence of polarization gradients. The difference of energies of the ground $|1\rangle$ and the excited $|2\rangle$ states is $\hbar\omega_0$. The light pressure force on the atom is given by the formula [1, 19]

$$F = (\varrho_{12}\mathbf{d}_{21} + \varrho_{21}\mathbf{d}_{12})\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial z}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{d}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{d}_{21}$ are the matrix elements of the dipole moment, ϱ_{12} and ϱ_{21} are the non-diagonal elements of the density matrix ϱ.

According to (5), for a force calculation, in addition to fields, we also need to know the density matrix of the atom. We use two approachs for calculating the density matrix of the atom. In our analytic calculation of the temperature of the atomic ensemble in a weak field we use the density matrix equation. In our numerical calculation of the statistical properties of the atomic ensemble we use the Monte Carlo wave-function method [15]. The results of both methods are compared in Section VI and shows good agreement.

Under the action of force (5) the atom moves according to Newton's law

$$\dot{v} = F/m, \tag{6}$$

where m is the mass of the atom and $v = \dot{z}$ is its velocity.

IV. ATOMS IN THE WEAK FIELD

To explain laser cooling by stochastic field, we will find the light pressure force (5) on an atom and the population of the excited state in a weak field ($\Omega_0 \ll \gamma$) using the equation for the density matrix

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varrho_{jk} = \sum_l (H_{jl}\varrho_{lk} - \varrho_{jl}H_{lk}) + i\hbar\sum_{l,m}\Gamma_{jk,lm}\varrho_{lm}, \tag{7}$$

where the Hamiltonian H is equal to

$$H = \hbar\omega_0|2\rangle\langle 2| - \mathbf{d}_{12}|1\rangle\langle 2|\mathbf{E}(t) - \mathbf{d}_{21}|2\rangle\langle 1|\mathbf{E}(t). \tag{8}$$

The relaxation process in (7) is described by the term containing the matrix Γ. Non-zero matrix elements of Γ are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{12,12} &= \Gamma_{21,21} = -\gamma/2, \\ \Gamma_{11,22} &= -\Gamma_{22,22} = \gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

After substitution of (8), (9) and (2) in (7), applying RWA and changing the variables

$$\varrho_{12} = \sigma_{12}e^{i\omega_0 t}, \qquad \varrho_{21} = \sigma_{21}e^{-i\omega_0 t} \tag{10}$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varrho_{11} = \frac{i}{2}\sigma_{12}e^{i\delta t}\left[\Omega_1^* e^{ikz} + \Omega_2^* e^{-ikz}\right] - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_{21}e^{-i\delta t}\left[\Omega_1 e^{-ikz} + \Omega_2 e^{ikz}\right] + \gamma\varrho_{22}, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sigma_{12} = \frac{i}{2}(\varrho_{11} - \varrho_{22})e^{-i\delta t}\left[\Omega_1 e^{-ikz} + \Omega_2 e^{ikz}\right] - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma_{12}, \tag{12}$$

$$\sigma_{21} = \sigma_{12}^*, \qquad \varrho_{11} + \varrho_{22} = 1, \tag{13}$$

where $\Omega_1 = \Omega(t - z/c)$, $\Omega_2 = \Omega(t + z/c)$, $\Omega(t) = -\mathbf{d}_{12}\mathbf{e}E_0(t)/\hbar$, $\delta = \omega_0 - \omega$. The light pressure force in this approximation is equal to

$$F = \hbar k\frac{i}{2}e^{i\delta t}\sigma_{12}\left[\Omega_2^* e^{-ikz} - \Omega_1^* e^{ikz}\right] - \hbar k\frac{i}{2}e^{-i\delta t}\sigma_{21}\left[\Omega_2 e^{ikz} - \Omega_1 e^{-ikz}\right]. \tag{14}$$

We seek for the quasistationary solution to the equations (11)–(13), which is achieved when $t \gg \gamma^{-1}$. Introducing the parameter ε to denote the order of smallness of Ω_1, Ω_2 in comparison with γ (after the end of the evaluation we put $\varepsilon = 1$), the solution to (11)–(13) and the light pressure force (14) can be written in the form

$$\varrho_{11} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon^n\varrho_{11}^{(n)}, \tag{15}$$

$$\sigma_{12} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon^n\sigma_{12}^{(n)}, \tag{16}$$

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\varepsilon^n f^{(n)}. \tag{17}$$

From equations (11)–(13) it is easy to see that

$$\varrho_{11}^{(0)} = 1, \quad \varrho_{11}^{(1)} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\sigma_{12}^{(0)} = \sigma_{12}^{(2)} = 0, \quad f^{(0)} = f^{(1)} = 0. \tag{19}$$

The first order solution to (11)–(13) is

$$\sigma_{12}^{(1)}(t) \;=\; \sigma_{12}^{(1)}(0) + \frac{i}{2}\int\limits_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma(t'-t)}e^{-i\delta t'}\left[\Omega_1(t')e^{-ikz'} + \Omega_2(t')e^{ikz'}\right]dt', \tag{20}$$

$$\sigma_{21}^{(1)}(t) \;=\; \sigma_{21}^{(1)}(0) - \frac{i}{2}\int\limits_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma(t'-t)}e^{i\delta t'}\left[\Omega_1^*(t')e^{ikz'} + \Omega_2^*(t')e^{-ikz'}\right]dt', \tag{21}$$

where z' is the coordinate of the atom at time t'. Now we can calculate the second order term $f^{(2)}$ of the light pressure force

$$f^{(2)} = -\frac{i}{2}\hbar k\left[\Omega_1^*\sigma_{12}^{(1)}e^{i\delta t+ikz} - \Omega_1\sigma_{21}^{(1)}e^{-i\delta t-ikz}\right] + \frac{i}{2}\hbar k\left[\Omega_2^*\sigma_{12}^{(1)}e^{i\delta t-ikz} - \Omega_2\sigma_{21}^{(1)}e^{-i\delta t+ikz}\right]. \tag{22}$$

Substituting (20)–(21) in (22) and averaging force over the wavelength, we obtain the steady-state value of the light presssure force

$$\tilde{f}^{(2)} = \frac{-2\hbar k\Omega_0^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)\delta kv}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)^2 + (\delta + kv)^2\right]\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)^2 + (\delta - kv)^2\right]}. \tag{23}$$

Here we assumed $z = z_0 + vt$, $z' = z_0 + vt'$, where v is the velocity of the atom, and took into account that, according to (3) and (4),

$$\langle \mathrm{Re}\,\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{Re}\,\Omega(t')\rangle \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0^2 e^{-G|t-t'|}, \tag{24}$$

$$\langle \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega(t)\,\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega(t')\rangle \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0^2 e^{-G|t-t'|}, \tag{25}$$

where $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{\langle|\Omega|^2\rangle}$.

Equation (23) shows that the damping force occurs for $\delta > 0$, when the carrier frequency ω of the the counter-propagating waves is less then the transition frequency ω_0 in the atom. This corresponds with a well-known result for Doppler cooling of atoms by a monochromatic standing wave [1].

Similar calculations gives the second order solution to (11)–(13), which, averaged over the wavelength, in the case of slow atoms ($k|v| \ll \gamma$) gives

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{22}^{(2)} = \frac{\Omega_0^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)}{\gamma\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)^2 + \delta^2\right]}. \tag{26}$$

Knowledge of the population of the excited state allows to find the rate of spontaneous emission of photons $\gamma\tilde{\varrho}_{22}$, and, therefore, calculate the momentum diffusion coefficient [27]

$$D_p = \frac{1}{2}(\hbar k)^2(1 + Q + \xi)\gamma\tilde{\varrho}_{22}, \tag{27}$$

which determines the time dependence of the mean-squared momentum deviation p from the mean value $\langle p\rangle$,

$$\langle (p-\langle p\rangle)^2\rangle = 2D_p t. \tag{28}$$

In (28) the Mandel parameter Q takes into account non-Poisson statistics of scattered photons. For low intensities this term is small and may be neglected. Parameter ξ is determined by the angular distribution of the scattered photons. For an one-dimensional model considered here $\xi = 1$ (photons are emitted in two opposite directions along the propagation of the light rays). It is for this model the well-known formula for the minimal temperature of the two-level atoms in the field of the standing wave,

$$T_D = \frac{\hbar\gamma}{2k_B}, \tag{29}$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, was derived.

Taking into account that the friction force, which slows the atom's velocity down, according to (23) is equal to

$$\tilde{f}^{(2)} = -\alpha v \tag{30}$$

for $v \ll \gamma/k$, where

$$\alpha = \frac{2\hbar k^2 \Omega_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)\delta}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + G\right)^2 + \delta^2\right]^2}, \tag{31}$$

we calculate the temperature of the atomic ensemble [27]

$$T = \frac{D_p}{\alpha k_B} = \frac{\hbar}{2k_B}\left(G + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\left[\frac{G+\frac{\gamma}{2}}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{G+\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right]. \tag{32}$$

The temperature (32) reaches the minimum value

$$T_{\min} = \frac{D_p}{\alpha k_B} = \frac{\hbar}{k_B}\left(G + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \tag{33}$$

when the detuning equals

$$\delta = \delta_{\text{opt}} = \left(G + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right). \tag{34}$$

Formula (33), when $G \to 0$, coincides with formula (29) for the minimal temperature of the atoms in the field of a standing monochromatic wave.

V. NUMERICAL CALCULATION PROCEDURE

The time evolution of the statistical properties of the atomic ensemble is calculated by the Monte Carlo wave-function method [15] with the subsequent ensemble averaging of the first and the second moments of the velocity and coordinate distribution functions. The state vector of the atom

$$|\psi\rangle = c_1 |1\rangle + c_2 \exp(-i\omega_0 t) |2\rangle , \tag{35}$$

is determined from the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle = H |\psi\rangle \tag{36}$$

with the simulation of a quantum jump probability after every step of the integration.

The Hamiltonian in the Schrödinger equation (36) is

$$H = H_0 + H_{\rm int} + H_{\rm rel}, \tag{37}$$

where

$$H_0 = \hbar\omega_0 |2\rangle\langle 2| \tag{38}$$

describes the atom in the absence of fields and relaxation. Term

$$H_{\rm int} = -\mathbf{d}_{12} |1\rangle\langle 2| \mathbf{E}(t) - \mathbf{d}_{21} |2\rangle\langle 1| \mathbf{E}(t), \tag{39}$$

is responsible for the interaction of the atom with the field, and

$$H_{\rm rel} = -\frac{i\hbar\gamma}{2} |2\rangle\langle 2| \tag{40}$$

describes the relaxation due to the spontaneous emission. Because of this term the Hamiltonian (37), describing a closed two-level system, is non-Hermitian, contrary to the Hamiltonian in the equation for the density matrix.

Substitution (35) and (37) in (36) gives the equation for c_1 and c_2. After applying the rotating wave approximation (RWA) [21] we finally arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_1 = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\Omega_1 e^{-ikz} + \Omega_2 e^{ikz} \right] c_2 e^{-i\delta t}, \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} c_2 = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\Omega_1^* e^{ikz} + \Omega_2^* e^{-ikz} \right] c_1 e^{i\delta t} - \frac{\gamma}{2} c_2. \tag{42}$$

We simulate the stochastic fields Ω_1, Ω_2 by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process $\Xi(t)$ [22] with the autocorrelation function

$$\langle \Xi(t)\Xi(t')\rangle = DGe^{-G|t-t'|}. \tag{43}$$

R.h.s of (43) coincides with r.h.s. of (24), (25) for $\Omega_0 = \sqrt{2DG}$. Function $\Xi(t)$ is generated by the algorithm of Fox and others [23, 24], as was done in [16, 25, 26]. The value of $\Xi(t_{j+1})$ at discrete times $t_j = t_{j-1} + \Delta t$ is calculated from $\Xi(t_j)$ according to

$$\Xi(t_{j+1}) = \Xi(t_j)e^{-G\Delta t} + h(t_j). \tag{44}$$

Here $h(t_j)$ obeys Gaussian statistics with a zero first moment and the second moment given by

$$\langle h(t_j)^2\rangle = DG\left(1 - e^{-2G\Delta t}\right). \tag{45}$$

Let the state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is normalized to unity at time t. As far as the Hamiltonian (37) is not Hermitian, after time step Δt the state vector $|\psi^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\rangle$ is not normalized to unity. According to [15],

$$\Delta P = 1 - \langle\psi^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)|\psi^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\rangle \tag{46}$$

is the probability of a quantum jump. If quantum jump occures, the state vector becomes

$$|\psi(t+\Delta t)\rangle = |1\rangle. \tag{47}$$

In the opposite case we normalize the state vector and it becomes

$$|\psi(t+\Delta t)\rangle = \frac{|\psi^{(1)}(t+\Delta t)\rangle}{\sqrt{1-\Delta P}}. \tag{48}$$

To simulate a quantum jump, we introduce the random variable ϵ, which is uniformly distributed between zero and one. If it is smaller than ΔP, the quantum jump occurs.

The elements of the density matrix in (5) are expressed in terms of the probability amplitudes c_1 and c_2 by

$$\varrho_{12} = c_1c_2^*e^{i\omega_0 t}, \quad \varrho_{21} = c_2c_1^*e^{-i\omega_0 t}. \tag{49}$$

The light pressure force (5) after averaging over the period of the field's oscillations $2\pi/\omega_0$ reads

$$F = \hbar k\,\mathrm{Im}\left\{c_1c_2^*e^{i\delta t}\left[\Omega_1^*e^{ikz} - \Omega_2^*e^{-ikz}\right]\right\}\left(c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2\right)^{-1}. \tag{50}$$

To simulate the atom's motion, we simultaneously solve equation (6) and (41), (42), where the light pressure force is given by (50). In addition, the atomic momentum is stochastically changed due to the spontaneous emission and fluctuations of the absorption and the

stimulated radiation emission process [19]. In our calculations, for the sake of simplicity, we accept that the atomic momentum changes by $\pm\hbar k$ with an identical probability in the course of spontaneous emission.

In the case of a low laser radiation intensity, when the population of the excited state is negligible, the light pressure force and the momentum diffusion coefficient are equal to the sums of corresponding quantities for each of the counter-propagating waves [28]. The spontaneous emission occurs after each absorption of a photon, and the fluctuations of the momentum change in the stimulated processes occur as often as the similar fluctuations in spontaneous radiation [19]. This model was applied to the computer simulation of the fluctuation-driven momentum variation in the process of the interaction of atoms with the field of the counter-propagating low-intensity pulses [9] and with the field of the counter-propagating bichromatic waves [11].

In the case of counter-propagating waves of high intensity, which is required to reduce the size of the atomic cloud in a trap, we may consider the momentum diffusion in the stimulated processes in a similar way, bearing in mind that the result should be treated as an estimation. A probable error can be associated with larger fluctuations of the momentum change owing to stimulated radiation processes in comparison with those occurring owing to the spontaneous radiation emission. As a result, we can underestimate the atomic cloud size and the atomic temperature in the trap.

In summary, the calculation algorithm of the motion of atoms in the field is as follows. Equation (6) and (41), (41) are integrated by the Runge-Kutta method of the fourth order. After every step we randomly decide whether a quantum jump occurs, and the state vector is normalized. If the quantum jump occurs, the velocity of the atom changes by

$$\Delta v = \hbar k(\epsilon_1 - 0.5)/(M|\epsilon_1 - 0.5|) + \hbar k(\epsilon_2 - 0.5)/(M|\epsilon_2 - 0.5|), \tag{51}$$

where $\epsilon_{1,2}$ are random numbers uniformly distributed over the interval [0,1]. One of the terms simulates the momentum fluctuation due to a spontaneously emitted photon, and the other simulates the momentum fluctuation resulting from fluctuations of the stimulated absorption and emission of photons. The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, which describes the time dependence of the radiation field of the laser, is simulated by the equations (44) and (45).

After simulation of the motion of each atom in the ensemble we find the time dependence



FIG. 2: The dependence of the temperature of the atomic ensemble of 100 sodium atoms on the detuning δ of the carrier frequency of the stochastic waves ω from the atomic transition frequency ω_0 for $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 2$ MHz after 20 ms of the interaction of the atoms with the field. Squares and circles are the results of numerical calculation, lines show the calculation according to formula (32). Squares and solid curve correspond to $G = 2\pi \times 10$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 0.2$ MHz, circles and dashed line correspond to $G = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 0.1$ MHz

of the average velocity and the average coordinate of the atoms and the square root of the mean square deviation of the velocity and the coordinate from the corresponding average values.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is well known that the cycling atom-field interaction can be realized between two states of some atoms [1]. Our calculations was carried out for ^{23}Na atoms, in which the cyclic interaction can be realized for the transition $3^2S_{1/2} - 3^2P_{3/2}$. The transition wavelength is $\lambda = 589.16$ nm, the rate of spontaneous emission is $\gamma = 2\pi \times 10$ MHz, the Doppler cooling temperature limit for sodium atoms is $T_D = 240$ μK [1].

The motion of the atom in a stochastic field substantially depends on the field parameters. Among the whole set of parameters, we are interested in those that provide the motion of atoms in a narrow interval of coordinates z at the vicinity of the trap center.

To test the method of calculation of the statistical parameters of the atomic ensemble we simulated the motion of sodium atoms in a weak field and compared the results with the analytical formula for the temperature of the atomic ensemble (32). Figure 2 shows a good agreement of numerical calculations of the temperature of the atomic ensemble



FIG. 3: The time dependence of the average velocity $\langle v \rangle$ (thin line) and the standard deviation of the velocity from its average value Δv (thick line) of the atomic ensemble of 100 sodium atoms for $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 2$ MHz, $\delta = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, $G = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 0.1$ MHz, initial velocity $v_0 = 0$

with expression (32). Figure 3 shows an example of the time dependence of the average velocity and the standard deviation of the velocity from it's mean value for the parameters corresponding to one of the points in Figure 2.

Over time, the size of the atomic cloud increases until the light pressure force prevents atoms from moving away from the center of the trap. Because the light pressure force is small when the intensity of the laser beam is low, the atomic cloud does not stop expanding even for $t > 100$ ms. Confinement of the atoms in the trap can be achieved by increasing the intensity of the laser radiation, as is shown in Figure 4. Atoms with the initial velocity $v_0 = 10$ m/s slow down during 0.35 ms, and then their velocity fluctuates near zero with the variance of $\Delta v = 2.8$ m/s, which corresponds to temperature $T = 0.021$ K (see Figure 4(a)). The quasistationary distribution of atoms in space with mean square deviation from the the center of the trap $\Delta z = 2$ mm is reached after 6 ms. The center of mass of the ensemble slows down and then tends to the origin of the coordinates (the center of the trap), where it fluctuates (see Figure 4(b)).

When the detuning δ becomes small, the friction force becomes less, and the decaying movement to the center of the trap changes to oscillation with the amplitude gradually decreasing to zero. An example of such a movement is shown in Figure 5. The root mean square value of the velocity at low detunings grows, resulting in rising temperature of the atomic ensemble, which is 0.15 K for Figure 5. Reduced friction force also explains approximately five times more deviation of $\langle z \rangle$ from the center of the trap at the beginning of the



FIG. 4: (a) The time dependence of the average velocity $\langle v\rangle$ (thin line) and the standard deviation of the velocity from the average value Δv (thick line) for the atomic ensemble. (b) The time dependence of the average coordinate $\langle z\rangle$ (thin line) and the standard deviation of the coordinate from the average value Δz (thick line) for the same atomic ensemble. Parameters: $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 50$ MHz, $\delta = 2\pi \times 15$ MHz, $G = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 62.5$ MHz. Calculation was carried out for 200 sodium atoms, initial velocity $v_0 = 10$ m/s

movement of atoms in Figure 5(b) compared to Figure 4(b).

Figure 6 shows the dependence of the temperature T and the standard deviation Δz of the sodium atoms from the center of the trap on the detuning δ for high intensity of the laser radiation ($\Omega_0 \gg \gamma$). As can be seen, the minimal temperature and the size of the atomic cloud is achieved when $\delta = 2\pi \times 15$ MHz, which is significantly below $2\pi \times 25$ MHz given by the formula (34). The minimal temperature of the atomic ensemble for $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz and $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 50$ MHz are 7.1 mK and 21.7 mK that significantly exceeds 1.2 mK by the value given by formula (33).



FIG. 5: (a) The time dependence of the average velocity $\langle v \rangle$ (thin line) and the standard deviation of the velocity from the average value Δv (thick line) for an atomic ensemble. (b) The time dependence of the average coordinate $\langle z \rangle$ (thin line) and the standard deviation of the coordinate from the average value Δz (thick line) for the same atomic ensemble. Parameters: $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 50$ MHz, $\delta = 2\pi \times 1$ MHz, $G = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 62.5$ MHz. Calculation was carried out for 200 sodium atoms, initial velocity $v_0 = 10$ m/s

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The simulation of the motion of atoms in the field of counter-propagating stochastic waves (the chaotic field model), one of which repeats the other, shows that the atoms can be confined in a small area (a few mm) near the point where the amplitudes and phases of the counterpropagating waves are the same. The minimal temperature of the atoms in low-intensity counter-propagating waves is determined by the rate of spontaneous emission of photons by the atoms in the excited state and the autocorrelation time of the stochastic process. If the intensity of the waves is large, it significantly affects the temperature of the atoms.



FIG. 6: The dependence of (a) the temperature T of the atomic ensemble and (b) the standard deviation Δz of sodium atoms from the center of the trap on the detuning δ. Parameters: $G = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, squares — $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 20$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 10$ MHz circles — $\Omega_0 = 2\pi \times 50$ MHz, $D = 2\pi \times 62.5$ MHz. Calculation was carried out for 200 sodium atoms

The fact that the optical trap for atoms can be formed by the counter-propagating stochastic waves, significantly less correlated than non-stochastic waves, supports the hypothesis that any opposing waves, one of which repeats the other, can form a trap for atoms.

Since the temperature of the atomic ensemble in a low-intensity counter-propagating stochastic waves is determined by the width of the spectrum of the stochastic field and the rate of spontaneous emission, such fields can be used for the formation of ensembles of atoms with a given (higher than Doppler cooling limit) temperature.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding: This work was supported by the State Fund for Fundamental Research of Ukraine [grant number F64/26-2016]; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine in the frame

of the goal-oriented complex program of fundamental researches "Fundamental issues in creation of new nanomaterials and nanotechnologies" [grant number 3/16-H).

---

[1] H. J. Metcalf and P. van der Stratten, *Laser Cooling and Trapping* (Springer-Verlag: New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999).

[2] T. G. M. Freegarde, J. Waltz, and W. Hänsch, Opt. Commun. **117**, 262 (1995).

[3] A. Goepfert, I. Bloch, D. Haubrich, F. Lison, R. Schütze, R. Wynands, and D. Meschede, Phys. Rev. A **56**, R3354 (1997).

[4] V. I. Romanenko and L. P. Yatsenko, J. Phys. B **44**, 115305 (2011).

[5] V. I. Balykin, JETP Lett. **81**, 209 (2005).

[6] D. N. Yanyshev, V. I. Balykin, Y. V. Vladimirova, and V. N. Zadkov, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 033411 (2013).

[7] V. I. Romanenko, A. V. Romanenko, Ye. G. Udovitskaya, and L. P. Yatsenko, Ukr. J. Phys. **58**, 438 (2013).

[8] V. I. Romanenko, A. V. Romanenko, Ye. G. Udovitskaya, and L. P. Yatsenko, J. Mod. Opt. **61**, 839 (2014).

[9] V. I. Romanenko, Ye. G. Udovitskaya, A. V. Romanenko, and L. P. Yatsenko, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 053421 (2014).

[10] V. S. Voĭtsekhovich, M. V. Danileĭko, A. N. Negriĭko, V. I. Romanenko, and L. Yatsenko, JETP Lett. **49**, 161 (1989).

[11] V. I. Romanenko, A. V. Romanenko, and L. P. Yatsenko, Ukr. J. Phys. **64**, 309 (2016).

[12] J. Hoffnagle, Opt. Lett. **13**, 102 (1988).

[13] S. E. Park, H. S. Lee, E. Shin, T. Y. Kwon, S. H. Yang, and H. Cho, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **19**, 2595 (2002).

[14] R. Glover and T. Bastin, JOSA B **32**, B1 (2015).

[15] K. Mølmer, Y. Castin, and J. Dalibard, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **10**, 524 (1993).

[16] V. I. Romanenko, B. W. Shore, and L. P. Yatsenko, Opt. Commun. **268**, 121 (2006).

[17] A. T. Georges and P. Lambropoulos, Phys. Rev. A **20**, 991 (1979).

[18] A. T. Georges, Phys. Rev. A **21**, 2034 (1980).

[19] V. G. Minogin and V. S. Letokhov, *Laser Light Pressure on Atoms* (Gordon and Breach: New

York, 1987).

[20] J. Dalibard and Y. Castin, in *Frontiers in Laser Spectroscopy: Varenna on Lake Como, Villa Monastero, 23 June-3 July 1992*, edited by T. W. Hänsch and M. Inguscio (North Holland, 1994), vol. 120, pp. 445–476.

[21] B. W. Shore, *The Theory of Coherent Atomic Excitation*, vol. 1 (Wiley: New York, 1990).

[22] W. Horsthemke and R. Lefever, *Noise-Induced Transitions* (Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 1984).

[23] R. F. Fox, I. R. Gatland, R. Roy, and G. Vemuri, Phys. Rev. A **38**, 5938 (1988).

[24] G. Vemuri and R. Roy, Opt. Commun. **77**, 318 (1990).

[25] A. Kuhn, S. Schiemann, G. He, G. Coulston, W. Warren, and K. Bergmann, J. Chem. Phys. **96**, 4215 (1992).

[26] L. P. Yatsenko, V. I. Romanenko, B. W. Shore, and K. Bergmann, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 043409 (2002).

[27] C. S. Adams and E. Riis, Prog. Quant. Electr. **21**, 1 (1997).

[28] K. Mølmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2301 (1991).